

Implementing Equality for Reference Types

Simon Robinson
<http://TechieSimon.com>
@TechieSimon



pluralsight 
hardcore dev and IT training

1. Why Is Equality So Hard?

6.
Comparisons
in .NET

2. Equality in .NET

3. == in C#

7. Strings

4. Overriding
Equality:
Value Types

5. Overriding
Equality:
Reference Types

8.
Comparers
and
Equality
Comparers

10.
Structural
Equality

➔ Why implement equality for a reference type?

➔ Demo implementing equality:

- Override `object.Equals()`.
- Override `object.GetHashCode()`.
- Implement `==` and `!=` overloads.

➔ Dealing with inheritance.

- `IEquatable<T>` often not appropriate.

Why Override Equality (Ref Types)?



Why Override Equality (Ref Types)?

```
// a, b of type class MyType  
if (a == b) {
```



Want this to do value equality



Reference equality in C#
is understood and expected
by many devs!!!

This is a good reason for NOT
overriding equality
for reference types

You Might Override Equality For...

String wrappers

```
class FirstName  
{  
    private string _value;
```

```
if (name1 == name2) {
```

Mathematical types

```
class Vector  
{
```

```
if (vector1 == vector2) {
```



Value equality *might* be clearer here

Alternatively...

Equality Comparer

`IEqualityComparer<T>`



Can't use `==` for equality
with equality comparers

```
if (myEqualityComparer.Equals(obj1, obj2) {
```



Write an equality comparer
for your type
instead

Code Demo

**Do Not Place Anything
in This Space**

(Add watermark during
editing)

Note: Warning will not appear
during Slide Show view.

Equality/Type Safety/OOP

Breaks with inheritance

Type safe

```
public static bool operator == (Food x, Food y)
{
    return x._name == y._name && x._group == y._group;
}
```

```
public static bool operator == (Food x, Food y)
{
    return object.Equals(x, y);
}
```

Not type safe

Works with inheritance

Equality/Type Safety/OOP

Inheritance



Equality



~~Type safety~~

```
public static bool operator == (Food x, Food y)
{
    return object.Equals(x, y);
}
```



Not type safe

Works with inheritance

Is Implementing IEquatable<T> Worth It?

(for sealed classes)



Small performance
benefit

(`string` implements
`IEquatable<string>`)

Complicates the type

Need to remember
3 ways
to implement equality



Summary

→ Implementing equality not appropriate for most reference types.

→ Procedure for implementing equality:

- Override `object.Equals()`.
- Override `object.GetHashCode()`.
- Implement `==` and `!=` overloads.

→ `IEquatable<T>` only appropriate for sealed types.

→ Equality logic should go in `object.Equals()`.